

Applicants submit that the Examiner merely relied on the unfounded EPO Search Report and has not established analysis of lack of unity of invention based on the novel and inventive step approach as prescribed under the MPEP above. Reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants reserve the right of rejoinder of the non-elected claims or claims covering non-elected species upon the allowance of a generic or linking claim, as well as the right to file a divisional application(s) covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims or claims that cover non-elected species.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. OHK-0012 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: May 1, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Carl Schaukowitch

Registration No.: 29,211

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorney for Applicant